1	CITY OF YONKERS
2	
3	x
4	Minutes of
5	The City of Yonkers Zoning Board
6	August 17, 2021 - 6:00 p.m.
7	x
8	
9	
10	B E F O R E:
11	
12	JOSEPH CIANCIULLI, Chairman
13	HARRY SINGH, Member
14	JEFFREY LANDSMAN, Member
15	HECTOR LOPEZ, Member
16	WILSON KIMBALL, Member
17	VINCENT GIORGIO, Member
18	RALPH BATTISTA, Member
19	
20	
21	PRESENT:
22	DAVE BARBUTI, Building Department
23	ALAIN NATCHEV, Assistant Corporation
24	Counsel
25	LEE ELLMAN, Planning Department

1		
2	INDEX	
3		
4	DECISIONS	
5	#5745 - Andrew Romano, 14 Orchard St	7
6	#5757 - James Dibbini, 124 Vineyard Ave	11
7	#5748 - Andrew Romano, 212 Warburton Ave	20
8	#5749 - Andrew Romano, 782 N. Broadway	26
9	#5751 - Stephen Veneruso, 155 Warburton Ave	3 (
10	#5752 - Andrew Romano, 50 Vineyard Ave	35
11	#5755 - Andrew Romano, 52 Clark St	41
12		
13	ADJOURNED CASES	
14	#5660 - James Dibbini, 540 Nepperhan Ave	6
15	#5661 - James Dibbini, 578 Nepperhan Ave	6
16	#5756 - Andrew Romano, 28 Whitman Road	4 (
17		
18	OFF-AGENDA ITEMS	
19	#5743 - David Steinmetz, 40 aka 44 Hudson St	4
20	#5606 - 35 Vark Street	4 8
21	#5698 - 69 Ravine Ave	4 8
22	#5699 - 76 Point Street	4 8
23	#5697 - 56 Ravine Ave	4 8
24	#5710 - 78 Ravine Ave	4 8
25	#5711 - 50 Point Street	4.8

9

10

11

12

13

THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening, ladies

and gentlemen, the Zoning Board of Appeals

Public Hearing for August, 2021 is now in

session. Would the members introduce

themselves, please, starting with

Mr. Battista.

MR. BATTISTA: Ralph Battista.

MS. KIMBALL: Wilson Kimball.

MR. GIORGIO: Vincent Giorgio.

MR. LANDSMAN: Jeffrey Landsman.

MR. SINGH: Harry Singh.

MR. LOPEZ: Hector Lopez.

14 THE CHAIRMAN: And I'm Joseph

15 Cianciulli. I'm the Chairman of the Board.

To my immediate left is Corporation Counsel

17 represents the Board, Mr. Alan Natchev. To

my right is Mr. Dave Barbuti, Commissioner

Dave Barbuti, and to my far left over in

the corner there is the Deputy Planning

21 Commissioner Lee Ellman.

We have decisions only tonight. We

are going to do take up one thing first.

Just for a minute. This concerns case 44

25 Hudson street. We're going to have a

1	resolution. So, I'm going to take it up
2	first.
3	First off, excuse me, do I have a
4	motion to accept the minutes of July's
5	meeting?
6	MR. LANDSMAN: Motion.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Landsman. Do I
8	have a second? Ms. Kimball. Everybody in
9	favor say aye.
10	(A chorus of ayes.)
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody opposed?
12	Pass 7-0.
13	MR. LANDSMAN: Can you put your
14	microphone on, please?
15	THE CHAIRMAN: You can't hear me?
16	MR. LANDSMAN: It's very low.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: I make a motion to
18	have the Zoning Board of Appeals of the
19	City of Yonkers assume Lead Agency status
20	pursuant to Part 617 State Environmental
21	Quality Review Act, that's called SEQRA,
22	for the purpose of Miroza Tower, LLC.,
23	project located at Block 502, Lot 1.10,
24	Block 511, Lots 22.24 on properties known
25	as 40 also known as 44 Hudson Street and 6

1	point -	- ∈	excuse m	.e, 6	7-72	Buena	Vista
2	Avenue	in	Yonkers	, Ne	w Yor	k.	

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

On April 22, 2021, the Zoning Board of Appeals City of Yonkers circulated a Lead Agency notice on the project on site in connection with requested area variance Yonkers Zoning Ordinance to build a 269.5 foot structure comprised of 27 stories and a 267 unit tower including 1,699 square feet retail space on the first floor and 222 parking -- 222 parking garage and 24 spaces to be located at a new open air lot at 67-72 Buena Vista Avenue, and 17 parking spaces proposed via fee-in-lieu parking whereby 150 foot maximum is permitted also exceeding the maximum distance of off-site parking whereby 250-foot maximum is permitted whereas, and whereas 30 days have passed since the circulation of Lead Agency notice and no written objections having been received.

Now, therefore the Zoning Board of
Appeals City of Yonkers hereby assumes the
Lead Agency status for the above referenced
appeal for variance by Miroza Tower, LLC.

- Do I have a second on the motion? 1 2 MS. KIMBALL: Second. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: On the motion. 4 Mr. Battista. 5 MR. BATTISTA: For the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kimball. 6 7 MS. KIMBALL: For the motion. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Giorgio. 9 MR. GIORGIO: For the motion. 10 MR. LANDSMAN: For the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Landsman. 11 12 MR. LANDSMAN: For the motion. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh. 14 MR. SINGH: For the motion. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lopez. 16 MR. LOPEZ: For the motion. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Chairman Cianciulli votes for the motion. Motion is carried 18 19 7-0. I got you out early. There you go. 20 21 We're not going to bring up anything else. 22 So if you want to hang around you can.
- 25 Mr. Chairman.

It's up to you.

23

24

MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you very much,

1	THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to make a
2	motion to put over case 5660 and 5661, 540
3	Nepperhan Avenue and 578 Nepperhan Avenue.
4	Do I have a second, please?
5	MR. SINGH: Second.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh, on the
7	motion. This is put over. Everybody in
8	favor of the motion say aye.
9	(A chorus of ayes.)
10	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Anybody
11	opposed? That's carried 7-0.
12	The first decision tonight will be
13	case 5745. Mr. Romano on behalf of Croton
14	Terrace Enterprises, owner, premises 14
15	Orchard street, Block 2076, Lot 17 in a CM
16	zone.
17	Do I have a motion, please.
18	MR. GIORGIO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Giorgio. Speak
20	up though.
21	MR. GIORGIO: Case number 5745 on 14
22	Orchard Street, Block 2706, Lot 17.
23	The subject site is a vacant lot on
24	the northeast corner of Orchard Street and
25	Chanfrau Place. The lot is approximately

L	125 ft x 50 ft. The applicant purchased
2	the property in August 2018 and seeks
3	several area variances to construct a
1	two-story warehouse with an office to be
ō	used for storage of equipment and supplies
5	for his construction business.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to deny the requested area variances based on facts, findings, information, and testimony presented to this Board at the public hearing, site visits by members of the Zoning Board of Appeals, or otherwise obtained. In denying these variances, the Zoning Board of Appeals has taken into consideration the benefit to the applicant as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety, and welfare of the neighborhood and community. Specifically, in making its determination the Board has considered the following:

One, whether granting the requested variances would result in an undesirable change in neighborhood character or a detriment to nearby properties. Given the number and size of the required variances,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Board finds that the proposed building
is simply oversized for the lot. Further,
the lack of off-street loading spaces and
the fact that Chanfrau Place is a one way
street would likely result in traffic flow
issues and additional truck traffic along
Orchard Street, already a congested
residential road.

Two, whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by other feasible means. The Applicant has not submitted information to the Board to indicate that any other means have been considered, such as alternate locations or site layouts.

Three, whether the requested Variances are substantial. The Board finds that many of the proposed variances are substantial both numerically and in potential impacts to the neighborhood as To summarize, the number and size noted. of the proposed variances suggest that the building is oversized for the lot and the proposal would likely lead to traffic flow issues and additional truck traffic along

1	Orchard Street.
2	Four, whether the variances will
3	have negative effects to the physical or
4	environmental conditions in the
5	neighborhood. The proposed variances will
6	not have any additional physical or
7	environmental impacts beyond those
8	previously described.
9	Five, whether the alleged difficulty
10	is self-created. The hardship is clearly
11	self-created as the Applicant only recently
12	purchased the property and could have or
13	should have had actual or constructive
14	knowledge of the building code restrictions
15	prior to purchasing the property and
16	putting forth its proposal.
17	Thank you.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: You're welcome.
19	Do I have a second on the motion?
20	Mr. Landsman. On the motion, Mr. Battista.
21	MR. BATTISTA: For the motion.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kimball.
23	MS. KIMBALL: For the motion.
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Giorgio.

MR. GIORGIO: For the motion.

	1
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Landsman.
2	MR. LANDSMAN: For the motion.
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh.
4	MR. SINGH: For the motion.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lopez.
6	MR. LOPEZ: For the motion.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Chairman votes for
8	the motion, the motion is carried $7-0$.
9	All right. We're going to take this
10	young man and this young lady. Move up a
11	little so you can hear us.
12	Harry, this is going to be you.
13	The next case tonight is case 5757.
14	This is Mr. Dibbini. Who couldn't be here
15	tonight. He called me. It's a variance
16	John Daily, Jr. at 124 Vineyard Avenue,
17	Block 2174, Lots 28.32 in an "A" Zone.
18	Do I have a motion, please.
19	MR. SINGH: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Harry, speak up now.
21	MR. SINGH: Sure.
22	On premises known as 124 Vineyard,
23	Block 2174, Lot 28.32, Zone A.
24	The premises are located in an "A"
25	zone and contains a three-family house

1	built in 1925. The Applicant lives in the
2	house with his father, wife, and two
3	children. The Applicant owns the premises
4	and adjourning vacant lot to the north
5	identified as 126 Vineyard Ave. He now
6	seeks to build a passive or net-zero
7	two-family house for him and his family
8	using minimal gas or electricity supplied
9	by the utility company, along with
10	incorporating other energy-savings
11	construction materials. Applicant cannot
12	build this house without reapportioning the
13	existing lots between 124 and 126 Vineyard
14	Ave. He is seeking to reapportion said
15	lots and obtain variances for setback
16	requirements for lot 125 Vineyard Ave and
17	lot width requirements for 126 Vineyard
18	Ave. It should be noted that the
19	reapportionment does not require any lot
20	variances for 126 Vineyard Ave, just a lot
21	width variance, where 50 feet is required
22	and 37 feet is proposed.
23	As such, Mr. Chairman, I make a
24	motion to approve the requested variance to
25	subject to conditions based on facts,

1	finding
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Harry, speak louder.
3	MR. SINGH: Okay. Sorry.
4	subject to conditions based on
5	fact, finding, information, and testimony
6	presented to this Board at the public
7	hearing, site visits by members of the
8	Zoning Board of Appeals, or otherwise
9	obtained.
10	To grant an area variance, the Board
11	is required to consider five points of law:
12	1. Whether an undesirable change
13	will be produced in the character of the
14	neighborhood or the granting of this
15	Variance will create a detriment to nearby
16	properties.
17	The premises would remain similar in
18	use and character with the surrounding
19	area, which consists of one, two, and
20	multi-family dwellings, as reflected in the
21	area maps, photos, and site visits. By
22	granting the variance, 126 Vineyard lot
23	width will be 12.5 larger than most of the
24	neighboring lots with homes in the

25

immediate area. Furthermore, the new

proposed house at 126 Vineyard will have a
very low profile. Only one story will be
visible from the street. Similar to the
house immediately to the left or north of
126 Vineyard Ave. Additionally, the side
yard setbacks will still maintain a safe
and comfortable distance from neighboring
structures.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Whether the benefit sought by the Applicant cannot be achieved by some other method, feasible for the Applicant to pursue, other than the area variances.

The Applicant cannot build his home without the reapportionment of the premises. Unless the variance is approved, the Applicant and his family would be unable to make substantial use of the lot. Therefore, the benefit sought cannot be achieved by any other means besides the variance.

Whether the requested variance is substantial.

The requested variance is not substantial when viewed in context of the entire application. The buffer between

2.3

hoi	ıses	will	be	ma	int	aine	ed .	if	thi	İs	var	rian	се
is	appı	coved	l. 1	Par	kin	g wi	11	nc	ot k	ре	an	iss	ue,
as	ther	ce's	ampi	le	par	kinc	1 0:	n t	he	st	ree	et.	

Furthermore, there's also ample public transportation running on Vineyard Ave and near the premises as the #9 bus runs on Vineyard Ave and the #25 and #30 stop at Ashburton and Vineyard Ave.

4. The proposed improvements whether the granting of the Area variance to allow for the proposed improvement will have an adverse effect on the condition of the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

The variance will not negatively impact the neighborhood, as there will be no significantly noticeable change and parking will be minimally impacted, as the new house will have a two-car garage to accommodate the needs of 126 Vineyard Ave. Additionally, none of the tenants at the premises have had vehicles as far as the Applicant can recall over the years. As a result, there will be no adverse environmental or physical impact on the

4	i
T	premises.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5.	Whether the alleged difficulty
was self	-created, consideration shall be
relevant	to the decision of the Zoning
Board of	Appeals, but shall not necessarily
preclude	the granting of the area variance.

The alleged difficulty was not self-The adjourning lot has been idle created. for generations, and the Applicant now seeks to build a home for him and his family making the land productive. This cannot be done without the reapportionment of 124 Vineyard Ave.

Mr. Chairman, in granting this request for improvement to a nonconforming use, the Board imposes the following conditions:

- 1. All health, safety, fire, building, and environmental codes shall always be adhered to by the Applicant.
- 2. All curbs and sidewalks abutting the property that needs repairing or replacement as per the City of Yonkers Department of Engineering, then the repair or replacements must be done before

1	occupancy.

2.3

- 3. That if any back real estate taxes and/or fines if owned, be paid in full within 60 days of this hearing, and proof must be submitted to this Board.
 - 4. These conditions shall be on the certificate of occupancy, and the Applicant and/or property owner shall permit inspections at the discretion of the City's Department of Housing and Buildings at least once every calendar year to determine that the conditions are being satisfied.
 - 5. There will be fire/Carbon monoxide and smoke detectors on the premises hooked up outside 24 hours.

 Monitoring systems such as ADT before occupancy.
 - 6. Should the Applicant and/or property owner not comply with, breach, or violate any of these conditions, at any time, the approval of these variances is hereby rescinded. It authorizes the City's Department of Housing and Building to take appropriate action.
- 7. All expenses associated with

these conditions shall be the sole 1 2 responsibility of Applicant and the 3 property owner. There will be no boarding house 4 5 or in-law setup house allowed other than 6 the new home which will be two-family only. 7 10. The empty property north of the new house must be used to park a minimum of 9 two cars, maximum four cars. There is a 10 curb cut there that can be used. These 11 spots cannot be used except by two 12 occupants of the house on the property, 13 free of charge. This must be done before 14 occupancy. 15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: I think you forgot 17 number 9. MR. SINGH: Yes, right, I apologize. 18 19 I skipped that. Number 9. The two-car garage in the new house shall remain 20 21 restricted to parking, storage of 22 automobiles.

the motion? Ms. Kimball.

2.3

24

25

MS. KIMBALL: Motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do I have a second on

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Battista.
- 2 MR. BATTISTA: For the motion.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kimball.
- 4 MS. KIMBALL: For the motion.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Giorgio.
- 6 MR. GIORGIO: For the motion.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Landsman.
- 8 MR. LANDSMAN: For the motion.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh.
- 10 MR. SINGH: For the motion.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lopez.
- MR. LOPEZ: For the motion.
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Chairman votes for
- 14 the motion. The motion is carried. 7-zip.
- 15 You okay?
- MR. DAILY: Yes. Thank you,
- 17 Mr. Chairman.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Say hello to your
- 19 father for me. Okay?
- MR. DAILY: I will. I will. Thank
- 21 you. Have a good evening.
- MR. SINGH: I forgot my glasses.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Huh?
- MR. SINGH: I forgot my eyeglasses.
- 25 So I forgot the --

1	THE CHAIRMAN: You want me to read
2	the motion?
3	MR. SINGH: No, it's okay.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: You want me to read
5	them?
6	MR. SINGH: No, that's okay.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: You're okay?
8	MR. SINGH: Yes. Thank you.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Let's see what we got
10	here. The next one. 5748 Area variance,
11	Mr. Romano, on behalf of Smerlin Cuello,
12	owner of 212 Warburton Avenue, Block 2097,
13	Lot 60 in an "M" Zone.
14	Do I have a motion, please?
15	MR. GIORGIO: Yes Mr. Chairman.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.
17	MR. GIORGIO: The subject property
18	is located on the east side of Warburton
19	Avenue between Lamartine Avenue and Willow
20	Place. The site is developed with an
21	existing three-story, three-family frame
22	building over a basement. The Applicant is
23	seeking to legalize a second floor addition
24	in the rear of the building that was
25	seemingly built sometime after August of

1	2002.
	/ / / / /

Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to
approve the requested area variances based
on facts, findings, information, and
testimony presented to this Board at the
public hearing, site visits by members of
the Zoning Board of Appeals, or otherwise
obtained. In approving these variances,
the Zoning Board of Appeals has taken into
consideration the benefit to the Applicant
as weighed against the detriment to the
health, safety, and welfare of the
neighborhood and community. Specifically,
in making its determination the Board has
considered the following:

One, whether granting the requested variances would result in an undesirable change in neighborhood character or a detriment to nearby properties. The Board has visited the site multiple times and finds no apparent detriment to granting the request. The building is in character with other residential buildings in the neighborhood in terms of size, use, appearance, and occupancy. The addition

1	has been in place for some time with no
2	known issues and is located in the rear of
3	the property so that it's not visible from
4	Warburton Avenue. The home will remain on
5	the Certificate of Occupancy as a three-
6	family residence as it was prior to
7	building of the addition.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

Two, whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by other feasible means. The addition is existing, and as such, it is not possible to reduce or obviate the need for variances short of significant renovation or demolition.

Three, whether the requested variances are substantial. While some of the requested variances may be numerically substantial, the Board does not believe that they would substantial with regard to neighborhood impacts. The building is in character with other residential buildings in the neighborhood in terms of size, use, appearance, and occupancy. The addition in question has been in place for some time with no known issues. The addition is in the rear of the property and is not visible

1	from Warburton Avenue. The home will
2	remain on the Certificate of Occupancy as a
3	three-family residence as it was prior to
4	building of the addition.
5	Four, whether the variances will
6	have negative effects to physical,
7	environmental conditions in the
8	neighborhood. The addition is existing and
9	no new construction is proposed so that
LO	there would be no change to the physical or
11	environmental conditions.
12	Five, whether the alleged difficulty
13	is self-created. The hardship is self-
L 4	created as the Applicant only recently
L5	purchased the property and could have and
L 6	should have conducted appropriate due
L7	diligence during purchase. However, this
18	factor alone does not preclude the Board
L 9	from granting the request.
20	In approving these variances, the
21	Board imposes the following conditions:
22	1. All fire, health, environmental,
23	safety, building and zoning codes shall be
24	adhered to at all times;

2. Fire, smoke, and carbon monoxide

1	detectors shall be installed throughout the
2	building and connected to an outside
3	24-hour monitoring system before occupancy;

4

5

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- 3. Any taxes or fines, if owed, shall be paid within 60 days from today's date;
- 4. Any repairs or replacement
 required by the City Engineer to the curbs
 or sidewalks in front of the property along
 Warburton Avenue shall be repaired or
 replaced by the applicant prior to
 occupancy;
- 135. No more than three kitchens are14allowed;
 - 6. These conditions shall be specified on the Certificate of Occupancy and the owner shall permit periodic inspections at the discretion of the City of Yonkers, Department of Housing and Buildings at least once every calendar year to determine that the conditions are being satisfied;
 - 7. This approval shall be immediately rescinded should the owner violate any of these conditions at any

1 time; and 2 8. All expenses associated with 3 these conditions shall be the 4 responsibility of the owner. 5 Thank you. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Did you put something 7 there about three kitchens? MR. GIORGIO: Yes, under condition 9 number 5, no more than three kitchens are 10 allowed. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank 12 you. 13 Do I have a second on the motion? 14 Ms. Kimball. On the motion, Mr. Battista. 15 MR. BATTISTA: For the motion. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kimball. 17 MS. KIMBALL: For the motion. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Giorgio. 19 MR. GIORGIO: For the motion. 2.0 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Landsman. 21 MR. LANDSMAN: For the motion. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh. 2.3 MR. SINGH: For the motion. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lopez.

MR. LOPEZ: For the motion.

1	THE CHAIRMAN: Chairman votes for
2	the motion. The motion is carried $7-0$.
3	The next case is improvement to
4	non-conforming use, 782 North Broadway,
5	Block 3021, Lot number 5 in an S-50 Zone.
6	Mr. Landsman.
7	MR. LANDSMAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I
8	make a motion to approve the request for
9	this improvement to a non-conforming use
10	subject to conditions based on facts,
11	findings, information, and testimony
12	presented to this Board at the public
13	hearing, site visits by members of the
14	Zoning Board and otherwise obtained.
15	The subject property in question is
16	a two-family home in an S-50 Zone, in a
17	neighborhood of multifamily and single
18	homes on the east side of North Broadway
19	just North of Roberts Ave.
20	With respect to the improvement to
21	the non-conforming use related to this
22	existing home, which is now sought to be
23	legalized, the conditions imposed herein,
24	will serve to reduce any existing adverse

25

effects of the existing, non-conforming

requested relief, the Zoning Board of Appeals shall take into consideration the effect of permitting the requested alterations to the non-conforming dwelling.	use. In making its determination on the
effect of permitting the requested	requested relief, the Zoning Board of
	Appeals shall take into consideration the
alterations to the non-conforming dwelling.	effect of permitting the requested
	alterations to the non-conforming dwelling.

The Zoning Board has visited the site multiple times and finds there is no apparent detriment to neighbors in granting the relief requested and no enlargement of the presently existing nonconforming use. Further, there was no opposition from the neighbors.

The Applicant is simply seeking to maximize the living space in the property by improving the basement space.

It must be noted again that no neighbors in the area have complained about the two-family use which apparently has been in place for over 100 years according to records obtained from the City of Yonkers assessment office. Legalizing it will not change the currently existing condition at the property or its effect upon the health, safety or welfare of the surrounding neighborhood.

1	No environmental issues or safety
2	standards which have been breached. The
3	granting of the requested relief will in no
4	way have a negative effect on the
5	neighborhood or do nothing to negatively
6	alter the quality of life.
7	However, the granting of this
8	improvement to a nonconforming use is
9	subject to the following conditions:
10	Number one, all health, safety,
11	fire, building and environmental codes
12	shall be adhered to at all times by the
13	applicant and/or owner.
14	Number two, fire, smoke and carbon
15	monoxide detectors shall be installed
16	throughout the entire home and shall be
17	connected to an outside 24-hour monitoring
18	system.
19	Number three, that no business shall
20	operate from this property.
21	Number four, As determined by the
22	City of Yonkers Engineering Department, if
23	any sidewalks need repairing or replacing
24	in front of the dwelling then the owner or

25

Applicant must comply with their request,

1	before the property is occupied.
2	Number five, all taxes and fines, if
3	owed, must be paid in full 60 days from
4	today's date.
5	Number six, these conditions shall
6	be listed on the C of O and the applicant
7	and/or property owner shall permit
8	inspections at the discretion of the
9	Department of Housing and Buildings, City
10	of Yonkers, at least once per year to
11	determine that conditions are being met.
12	Number seven, should the applicant
13	and/or property owner not comply with,
14	breach or violate any of these conditions
15	at any time, the area variance is hereby
16	rescinded, and the Zoning Board authorizes
17	the City of Yonkers Department of Housing
18	and Buildings to take appropriate action.
19	Number eight, all expenses
20	associated with these conditions shall be
21	the responsibility of the Applicant and/or
22	owner.
23	Number Nine, that this home shall
24	remain a two-family dwelling or less with

no in-law or boarding house set-up.

1	Number Ten, The garage shall be used
2	strictly for parking of occupants cars.
3	Number Eleven The driveway must be
4	paved from North Broadway to garage
5	entrance.
6	Thank You.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Do I have a second on
8	the motion?
9	MR. SINGH: Second.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh. On the
11	motion, Mr. Battista.
12	MR. BATTISTA: For the motion.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kimball.
14	MS. KIMBALL: For the motion.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Giorgio.
16	MR. GIORGIO: For the motion.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Landsman.
18	MR. LANDSMAN: For the motion.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh.
20	MR. SINGH: For the motion.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lopez.
22	MR. LOPEZ: For the motion.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Chairman votes for
24	the motion. The motion is carried $7-0$.
25	Next case is 5751, non-conforming

1	use, Stephen Veneruso, 155 Warburton
2	Avenue, Block 2099, Lot 13 in a CM Zone.
3	Mr. Landsman.
4	MR. LANDSMAN: Yes, thank you.
5	I make a motion to approve the
6	request for this non-conforming use subject
7	to conditions based on facts, findings,
8	information, and testimony presented to
9	this Board at the public hearing, site
10	visits by members of the Zoning Board and
11	otherwise obtained.
12	The Zoning Board is permitted to
13	grant the most minimal non-conforming use.
14	The subject property in question is a
15	one-family home in an CM Zone. We approve
16	the house returning to its former C of O
17	status as a single-family residence. The
18	house is located on the West side of
19	Warburton Avenue between Ashburton Avenue
20	and Cottage Garden Place.
21	With respect to the non-conforming
22	use related to this existing home, which is
23	now sought to be legalized, the conditions
24	imposed herein will serve to reduce any

existing adverse effects of the existing,

1	non-conforming use. In making its
2	determination on the requested relief, the
3	Zoning Board of Appeals shall take into
4	consideration the effect of permitting the
5	requested alterations to the non-conforming
6	dwelling.

The Zoning Board has visited the site multiple times and finds there is no apparent detriment to neighbors in granting the relief requested and no enlargement of the presently existing nonconforming use.

With the house remaining a single family it should not contribute to the shortfall of street parking in the area. Further, there was no opposition from the neighbors.

The Applicant is seeking to legalize the living space in the property by improving the interior of the structure and make it habitable again.

No environmental issues or safety standards have been breached. The granting of the requested relief will in no way have a negative effect on the neighborhood or do nothing to negatively alter the quality of life.

1	However, the granting of this
2	nonconforming use is subject to the
3	following conditions:
4	Number one, all health, safety,
5	fire, building and environmental codes
6	shall be adhered to at all times by the
7	applicant and/or owner.
8	Number two, fire, smoke and carbon
9	monoxide detectors shall be installed
10	throughout the entire home and shall be
11	connected to an outside 24-hour monitoring
12	system.
13	Number three, that no business shall
14	operate from this property.
15	Number four, as determined by the
16	City of Yonkers Engineering Department, if
17	any sidewalks need repairing or replacing
18	in front of the dwelling, then the owner or
19	applicant must comply with their request
20	before the property is occupied.
21	Number five, all taxes and fines, if
22	owed, must be paid in full 60 days from
23	today's date.
24	Number six, these conditions shall
25	be listed on the C of O and the applicant

1	and/or property owner shall permit
2	inspections at the discretion of the
3	Department of Housing and Buildings, City
4	of Yonkers, at least once per year to
5	determine that conditions are being met.
6	Number seven, should the applicant
7	and/or property owner not comply with,
8	breach or violate any of these conditions
9	at any time, the area variance is hereby
LO	rescinded, and the Zoning Board authorizes
L1	the City of Yonkers Department of Housing
L2	and Buildings to take appropriate action.
L3	Number eight, all expenses
L 4	associated with these conditions shall be
L5	the responsibility of the applicant and/or
L 6	owner.
L7	Number nine, new plans for a single-
L8	family home shall be submitted to the
L 9	Yonkers Building Department for approval.
20	The basement shall remain be unfinished, as
21	finished rooms could result in larger
22	families with many cars and place a further
23	hardship on the area for parking.
24	Number ten, that this home shall
25	remain a one-family dwelling with no

1	boarding house or in-law set-up.
2	Thank You.
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Do I have a second on
4	the motion? Thank you, Ms. Kimball. On
5	the motion, Mr. Battista.
6	MR. BATTISTA: For the motion.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kimball.
8	MS. KIMBALL: For the motion.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Giorgio.
10	MR. GIORGIO: For the motion.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Landsman.
12	MR. LANDSMAN: For the motion.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh.
14	MR. SINGH: For the motion.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lopez.
16	MR. LOPEZ: For the motion.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Chairman votes for
18	the motion. The motion is carried $7-0$.
19	The next case is 5752, 50 Vineyard
20	Avenue, Block 2078, Lot 12 in an "A" Zone.
21	Do I have a motion, please?
22	MR. GIORGIO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Giorgio.
24	MR. GIORGIO: The subject site is a

vacant lot on the northeast corner of

Vineyard Avenue and Myrtle Street. The applicant is proposing to construct a two-family dwelling with frontage on Myrtle Street.

2.0

2.3

Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to approve the requested area variance based on facts, findings, information, and testimony presented to this Board at the public hearing, site visits by members of the Zoning Board of Appeals, or otherwise obtained. In approving this variance, the Zoning Board of Appeals has taken into consideration the benefit to the applicant as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety, and welfare of the neighborhood and community. Specifically, in making its determination the Board has considered the following:

One, whether granting the requested variance would result in an undesirable change in neighborhood character or a detriment to nearby properties. The proposed building and use are in character with the surrounding neighborhood and the proposed setbacks are similar to that found

at other residential properties in the
area. The Board also notes that the
applicant has supplied the required off
street parking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Two, whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by other feasible means. Given the size and topography of the site, it is not likely that the applicant could achieve the desired benefit absent the requested variance.

Three, whether the requested variance is substantial. While the opposed variance may be numerically substantial, the Board does not believe that it would be substantial with regard to neighborhood impacts. The proposed dwelling is in character with the neighborhood and the setbacks are similar to those found in the surrounding area.

Four, whether the variance will have negative effects to physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood. The proposed variance will not have any significant physical or

1	environmental impacts. All construction
2	will take place under the direction of the
3	City of Yonkers Department of Housing and
4	Buildings. Any impacts from construction
5	would be temporary.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Five, whether the alleged difficulty is self-created. The hardship is self-created as the applicant could have or should have had actual or constructive knowledge of the building code restrictions prior to purchasing the property and putting forth its proposal. However, this factor alone does not preclude the Board from granting the request.

In approving this variance the Board imposes the following conditions:

- All fire, health, environmental, safety, building and zoning codes shall be adhered to at all times;
- 2. Fire, smoke, and carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed throughout the proposed building and connected to an outside 24-hour monitoring system before occupancy;
 - 3. Any taxes or fines, if owed,

1	shall be paid within 60 days from today's
2	date;
3	4. No front yard parking allowed
4	which would impede the use of the sidewalk;
5	5. The property is to remain a
6	two-family dwelling only, no accessory
7	apartments, no in-law or boarding house
8	setups allowed;
9	6. No businesses shall operate from
10	the property;
11	7. Any repairs or replacement
12	required by the City Engineer to the curbs
13	or sidewalks in front of the property along
14	Vineyard Avenue or Myrtle Street shall be
15	repaired or replaced by the applicant
16	before occupancy;
17	8. These conditions shall be
18	specified on the Certificate of Occupancy
19	and the owner shall permit periodic
20	inspections at the discretion of the City
21	of Yonkers, Department of Housing and
22	Buildings at least once every calendar year
23	to determine that the conditions are being
24	satisfied;

9. This approval shall be

1 immediately rescinded should the owner 2 violate any of these conditions at any time; and 3 4 10. All expenses associated with these conditions shall be the 5 6 responsibility of the owner. 7 Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: You're welcome. Do I 9 have a second, please. Ms. Kimball. 10 the motion, Mr. Battista. MR. BATTISTA: For the motion. 11 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kimball. 13 MS. KIMBALL: For the motion. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Giorgio. 15 MR. GIORGIO: For the motion. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Landsman. 17 MR. LANDSMAN: For the motion. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh. 19 MR. SINGH: For the motion. 2.0 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lopez. 21 MR. LOPEZ: For the motion. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Chairman votes for 2.3 the motion. The motion is carried 7-zip. 24 Okay. The next case for tonight.

We have here on Whitman Road. Going to put

1 it over. Make a motion to put over case 2 5756, an area variance, 28 Whitman Road. Because when we had the hearing, I asked if 3 4 they had a permit. The lady said she had a 5 permit. I said, you gotta get it to us. 6 And we never got the permit. So we're 7 going to put it over until September. 8 I ask the Building Department to 9 please call Mr. Romano and ask him where 10 the permit is, please. Do I have a second on that? 11 12 MS. KIMBALL: (Indicated.) 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Second. Okay. 14 Everybody in favor say aye. 15 (A chorus of ayes.) 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's carried 7 - 0. 17 18 The last case for tonight is 5755, 19 52 Clark Street. Mr. Singh. 20 MR. SINGH: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Very loud now. 22 MR. SINGH: On premises known as --2.3 THE CHAIRMAN: Very loud. 24 MR. SINGH: -- 52 Clark Street,

Block 6103, lot 40, "T" Zone.

The premises are an existing one
family dwelling located in a "T" Zone. The
applicant has owned the premises since
2009. The neighborhood consists of mainly
one and two-family dwellings. The
applicant seeks to do interior alterations
to convert the premises into a two-family
dwelling. However, approval of the Zoning
Board of Appeals is required due to one
dimensional insufficiency and being short
one parking space.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As such, Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to approve the requested variance to a subject to conditions based on facts, findings, information, and testimony presented to this Board at the public hearing, site visits by members of the Zoning Board of Appeals, or otherwise obtained.

To grant an area variance, the Board is required to consider five points of law:

1. Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood, or the granting of this variance will create a detriment to nearby

properties. The premises would remain
similar in use and character with the
surrounding area, which consists of one and
two-family dwellings,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2. Whether the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some other method, feasible for the Applicant to pursue, other than the area variances.

The benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved in another way, as there is no ability to increase the lot size or obtain additional lot.

Whether the requested variance is substantial.

The requested variance is not substantial, as this does not include any external alteration and thus will not impact the surrounding premises. Furthermore, being only one parking space short of the code will not impact the neighborhood parking scheme.

4. The proposed improvements whether the granting of the area variance to allow for the proposed improvement will have an adverse effect on the condition of

1	the physical or environmental conditions in
2	the neighborhood or district.
3	There will be no adverse
4	environmental or physical impact on the
5	premises because there will be no external
6	alteration of the premises. Other than
7	being one parking space short of code, the
8	premises will otherwise comply with all
9	other aspects of building, housing,
10	environmental, and safety codes.
11	5. Whether the alleged difficulty
12	was self-created, consideration shall be
13	relevant to the decision of the Zoning
14	Board of Appeals but shall not necessarily
15	preclude the granting of the area variance.
16	While the hardship is self-created,
17	it will benefit the applicant for value,
18	safety, and usability. Furthermore, the
19	self-created hardship rule is merely a
20	consideration and does not necessarily bar
21	the granting of a variance.
22	Mr. Chairman, in granting this
23	request for improvement to a nonconforming
24	use, the Board imposes the following

conditions:

1	1.	All health, safety, fire,
2	building,	and environmental codes shall
3	always be	adhered to by the applicant.

2.3

- 2. All curbs and sidewalks abutting the property that needs repairing or replacement as per the City of Yonkers

 Department of Engineering then the repair or replacement must be done before the occupancy.
- 3. That if any back real estate taxes and/or fines if owned, be paid in full within 60 days of this hearing, and proof must be submitted to this Board.
- 4. These conditions shall be on the certificate of occupancy, and the applicant and/or property owner shall permit inspections at the discretion of the City's Department of Housing and Buildings, at least once every calendar year, to determine that the conditions are being satisfied.
- 5. There will be fire/Carbon monoxide and smoke detectors on the premises hooked up outside 24 hours.

 Monitoring systems such as ADT before the

1	occupancy.
2	6. Should the applicant and/or
3	property owner not comply with, breach, or
4	violate any of these conditions, at any
5	time, the approval of these variances is
6	hereby rescinded. It authorizes the City's
7	Department of Housing and Building to take
8	appropriate action.
9	7. All expenses associated with
10	these conditions shall be the sole
11	responsibility of the applicant and/or
12	property owner.
13	8. There will be no boarding house
14	or in-law setup allowed.
15	9. Use of the existing garage shall
16	be restricted to the parking of an
17	automobile with no conversion allowed.
18	10. There will be paved driveway
19	for Clark Street to end of the house before
20	occupancy.
21	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: You have no business
23	allowed?
24	MR. SINGH: I'm sorry?

THE CHAIRMAN: No business allowed?

- It's in there? 1 2 MR. SINGH: No, it is not. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Ms. Lynnette, 3 4 no businesses allowed. Okay. Do I have a second on the 5 6 motion? 7 MS. KIMBALL: (Indicated.) THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kimball on the 9 motion. Mr. Battista. 10 MR. BATTISTA: For the motion. THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Kimball. 11 12 MS. KIMBALL: For the motion. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Giorgio. 14 MR. GIORGIO: For the motion. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Landsman. 16 MR. LANDSMAN: For the motion. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Singh. MR. SINGH: For the motion. 18 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lopez. 20 MR. LOPEZ: For the motion. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Chairman votes for 22 the motion.
- 23 We got a couple of things to take up 24 then we're out of here.
- 25 St. Joseph's is asking for an

1 extension. I'm going to make them pay the money, \$750. It's case 5606, it's 35 Vark 2 3 Street, Block 493, Lot 65. I'm going to 4 make a motion we give them one-year's extension, September 25, 2021, to September 5 6 24, 2021. Do I have a second? 7 MR. BATTISTA: Second. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Everybody in 9 favor say aye. 10 (A chorus of ayes.) 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody say no? 12 Okav. That's carried 7-0. 13 Now, we have one that was, oh, we 14 gotta get this done. Okay. Mr. Veneruso. 15 Mr. Accinelli. They paid the \$750 for each 16 property, 69 Ravine street, 76 Point 17 Street, 56 Ravine Avenue, 78 Ravine Avenue, 18 50 Point Street. Case number 5698, 99, 97, 19 5710, 5711. I'm going to make a motion 20 that we give them a year's extension from 21 August 18 2021, to August 17, 2022. Like I 22 said they paid the 750 for each property. 2.3 Do I have second? 24 MR. SINGH: Second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Everybody in

1	favor say aye.
2	(A chorus of ayes.)
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody opposed?
4	Okay.
5	Make a motion to adjourn.
6	MS. KIMBALL: (Indicated.)
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
8	(Time Noted: 6:51 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	CERTIFICATION

1	
2	STATE OF NEW YORK)
3) ss.
4	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)
5	T IVINEEEE MODAEO o Court Doportor
6	I, LYNNETTE MORATO, a Court Reporter
7	and Notary Public within and for the State
8	of New York, do hereby certify:
9	That I reported the proceedings that
10	are hereinbefore set forth, and that such
11	transcript is a true and accurate record of
12	said proceedings.
13	I further certify that I am not
14	related to any of the parties to this action
15	by blood or marriage, and that I am no way
16	interested in the outcome of this matter.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
18	set my hand.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	LYNNETTE MORATO, COURT REPORTER
24	
25	