1	CITY OF YONKERS
2	
3	x
4	Minutes of
5	The City of Yonkers Zoning Board
6	WORKING SCOPING SESSION
7	OF
8	143-151 WOODWORTH AVENUE
9	Held at
10	Yonkers Riverfront Library
11	September 27, 2023 - 6:00 p.m.
12	x
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	WILSON KIMBALL, Chairperson
16	
17	PRESENT:
18	SAM BORELLI, Building Commissioner
19	LEE ELLMAN, Deputy Commissioner
20	
21	ALSO PRESENT:
22	VALERIE MONASTRA, Nelson, Pope, Voorhis
23	WILLIAM BRADY, Nelson, Pope, Voorhis
24	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
25	

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So we are going
2	to get started. It's about 6:14. And I'm
3	Wilson Kimball. We have with us tonight Lee
4	Ellman, Deputy Commissioner of the Planning and
5	Economic Development Department, Sam Borelli,
6	Building Commissioner. And two representatives
7	from Nelson, Pope, Voorhis who will introduce
8	themselves as they will be doing the
9	presentation.
LO	MS. MONASTRA: Thank you. Good evening.
11	My name is Valerie Monastra, I'm a Principal
12	Planner at Nelson, Pope, Voorhis. I'm here with
L3	Bill Brady who's also a Principal Planner at the
L 4	firm.
15	So I think tonight, the purpose of
16	tonight's meeting is really to look at the draft
L7	scope. And this is so I just wanted to
18	probably provide the public a little bit of
L 9	information in terms of the SEQRA process.
20	But so far this application has gone
21	through the initial submissions of an
22	Environmental Assessment Form Parts 1, 2 and 3.
23	And basically the Environmental Assessment Form
24	identifies your impact, the potential impacts to
25	any particular project. Based off of impacts

1	that are identified, a draft scope is developed.
2	And the scope itself is basically an outline for
3	the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. So
4	basically identifies all the environmental topic
5	areas that the applicant is going to analyze.
6	It also identifies any studies that are
7	anticipated to be developed by the applicant to
8	also help support the Draft Environmental Impact
9	Statement. And the Draft Environmental Impact
10	Statement will go through and look at the
11	existing conditions, the proposed conditions
12	based upon the potential impacts of the project
13	and then identify mitigation measures for each
14	and every environmental impacts.
15	But the first step is to develop the
16	draft scope. So, as part of the SEQRA
17	regulations, there will be, there needs be to a
18	public vetting of the draft scope. And that's
19	where you're going to have a draft scoping
20	session, I believe on October 16th.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
22	MS. MONASTRA: And at that point the
23	public will have the ability to provide input
24	into the draft scope and then there will also be
25	a little bit more of a public comment period in

1	which traditional written comments can be
2	provided on the draft scope.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: To ZBApublic.
4	MS. MONASTRA: That's correct.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
6	MS. MONASTRA: And then from there, once
7	those comments are in, we will be looking at
8	those comments and include any other additional
9	edits on substantiative comments that are
10	submitted and that are relevant to the actual
11	project itself. And then we will be send a
12	final draft scope for the ZBA to review and then
13	at point the ZBA can adopt the scope as the
14	final scope. And at which point the applicant
15	will need to develop their Draft Environmental
16	Impact Statement based upon the outline of the
17	scoping document.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: And when do we, what's
19	the schedule for public comment ending?
20	MS. MONASTRA: Yes. So do we want to,
21	Lee, I have, I have my phone had has a
22	calendar. So we can talk about a little about
23	that. So I think we were anticipating having
24	the scoping session on Monday, October 16th.
25	So, one suggestion would be having final public

1	comments submitted on Friday, October 20th?
2	Does that work?
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Lee, does that work for
4	you?
5	MR. ELLMAN: That works for me, but, you
6	know, more typically more standard is a ten-day
7	after the public hearing.
8	MS. MONASTRA: Sure. We can do that as
9	well, if you prefer.
LO	THE CHAIRPERSON: We can extend it to the
11	27th, then we have two full weeks.
12	MS. MONASTRA: Yup. Right.
13	MR. ELLMAN: Then there's basically two
L 4	weeks before.
L5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. I think that's
L 6	fine, right? Two weeks for comment? Plus they
L7	can comment on the 16th at the event, at the
L8	session.
L 9	MR. BORELLI: Right.
20	MS. MONASTRA: Yes. And then we'll be
21	working with Lee over the next couple of days to
22	get the, get everything together for posting to
23	the website so that the public will be able to
24	view the draft scope. And then we will also
) 5	sond out the work with Ico to sond out the

1	draft scope to the involved agencies.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Do you want to
3	go through some of the topics covered in the
4	scope that the City worked on today?
5	MS. MONASTRA: Sure. I'm going to turn
6	it over to Bill.
7	MR. BRADY: Great. Great. Thank you
8	very much. As Valerie said
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Introduce yourself.
10	MR. BRADY: Bill Brady, Nelson, Pope,
11	Voorhis, consultant working with the City. And
12	as Valerie mentioned, a draft scope had been
13	developed by the applicant. We've been taking a
14	look with City staff and even this morning had a
15	meeting to kind of review, edit the potential
16	draft scope. And so that, that draft scope is
17	going to be released to the public as we just
18	mentioned soon for review and comment on the
19	16th.
20	What the scope is, as Valerie mentioned,
21	is kind of the list of issues and themes and
22	topics that are going to be looked at in depth
23	during the EIS process, Environmental Impact
24	Statement. And in there, there are traditional

topics that get reviewed. I'm going to talk

1 through, through with those. In addition to 2 just, the EIS will have to kind of give a broader understanding about what the project is. 3 It'll include maps, it'll include narrative on 5 what the project is. And then it goes into 6 existing conditions. For existing conditions 7 and potential impact and mitigation measures for 8 each of these topic areas.

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the first one, first topic area is when taking a look at generally land use, zoning and public policy. So, Environmental Impact Statement will look at existing land use. land use how it will impacted by the project. And also what mitigation measures will be done for land use. Same thing with Zoning, what the existing zoning is for the site and the area within a quarter mile. And then we say public policy. It's going to take a look at all the different plans that exist in the City of Yonkers for the immediate area. There's been some areas, area studies for the Ravine area and impacting Woodworth Avenue and the county-wide plans Westchester 2025 which is the County's land use policies. And it even goes further out for the Regional Plan Association for the

1			
1	trı-	-state	area.

So the applicant and EIS is going to be looking at those topics. How it relates to the site, existing conditions, potential impacts and mitigation measures relating to land use, zoning and those policy documents.

Then it goes into the whole line of different types of impacts. The first one is visual resources and community character.

That's where the applicant develops an analysis and provides photos of existing site photos of what the development is going to look like from different angles from different public spots around in that area of city. And, again, existing conditions, future conditions, potential impacts and they're going to discuss mitigation measures in the EIS.

MS. MONASTRA: Right. And we also added additional comments including shadow analysis taking a look at that. As well as potential wind impacts and also lighting and those other elements.

MR. BRADY: Right. So these are, these are things that the applicant pulled together the draft scoping document. We have, we have

put in additional items into this draft scope to, additional items for them to a look at, analyses for them to perform in the EIS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next topic, geology, soils and topography. One of the items that we've added is there, we put in the draft scope that they're going to be taking a look at the amount of earth materials that get taken off the site. So as they excavate into the site, how much is going to be going, how much will be trucked off site. Those kinds of things. They're going to be looking at the existing site, site conditions, what's there now, what's underground. And then also mitigation measures related to the site, things going off the site and the conditions on the site.

The next section is traffic and transportation. This is where the applicant will develop their traffic analysis. They will work off this scope. Again, what the existing conditions are. And they're going to do a detailed analysis about baseline what's existing out there on many intersections in the area and how traffic will be coming to and from the property. We've added that they're going to be,

1	we're requesting that they provide more three
2	years of available crash data. Sorry.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Actually five years.
4	MR. BRADY: Actually five years of
5	additional crash data.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yup.
7	MR. BRADY: So we just made that change
8	today, due to the impacts of the COVID and we
9	want to make sure we get a better time frame in
10	there for crash data. And again looking at the
11	potential impacts of the development on traffic
12	on these intersections. And then mitigation
13	measures. They're going to present what they
14	feel are mitigation measures in the EIS.
15	Then we go into socio economic and fiscal
16	conditions. This is the section of the EIS that
17	talks about the existing conditions in the, in
18	the neighborhood. It's going to talk about

talks about the existing conditions in the, in
the neighborhood. It's going to talk about
impacts on the residents in the neighborhood.

Potential impacts on displacement in the
immediate area. And we provided some more
language on that. They're going to have to do a
little bit of a, not a little bit, but a
substantial impact analysis on tax generation
and how it impacts taxes. Fiscal impact

analysis. And, again, that's going to be
providing more detail in the scope about what
we're going to require, what the City is going
to require them to look at for in terms of those
kind of fiscal tax impacts.

2.3

The next category is community facilities and services. Those are an analysis of existing conditions and impacts on police, fire, public schools, solid waste and recycling. So, conversation with the different city departments understanding about their existing facilities and how this how this development may impact all those different community services and facilities in the City. And in the immediate area too.

Next category is utilities and infrastructure. This is where the EIS gets into a discussion on all utilities; water, sewer, stormwater, gas and electric. Existing conditions. How the project is going to impact the supply for the -- supply in the area and the City. And then what are the impacts on those utilities and what the mitigation measures may be as a result to take care of the project.

The next one is detailed analysis on

stormwater management. And the, the applicant will develop detailed studies on that. How it impacts on this the City stormwater system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next topic is going to be energy usage. And, again, how much energy is going to be used. How, again, the project's design and how much energy it's going to be using and how they're going to discuss the potential impacts of the development and what those mitigation measures may be.

The next topic is air quality. We've added some language in there for them to take a closer look and provide analyses about the existing conditions, air quality, take monitor air quality existing and then do an analysis on what the projected impact on air quality is. Everything from the building itself to the traffic created by this development on the area and the road surrounding the area. Everything from the air quality of particular matter. And then also mitigation measures associated with air quality.

The next to topic that the EIS will look at will be noise. It's going to have, it's going to discuss the existing conditions of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

noise what's there now, so you have a baseline of what the conditions are everything from traffic to trains to aircraft. Noise will be monitored and then there will be an analysis of the noise impacts of the development. It's going to -- we provided some language in there about the type of analysis and the monitoring that are going to be done for the existing and then the proposed conditions.

Next topic is hazardous materials. It's a small site, but the applicant will be taking a closer look about what's on the site, what's in the ground on the site. As the project gets developed, if anything needs to be removed from the site from the ground underneath the site, that kind of analysis. Again, a detailed understanding of how that's going to go.

The next topic is construction. How existing conditions around the site, but particularly as the project gets developed, if the project gets developed, what kind of impacts are going to happen in the area from the construction. Construction vehicles, how long it's going to take. Again, back to that, how much material may be removed from the site.

1	Hours of operation. Things like that.
2	Blasting. And, again, looking at what's
3	underground subsurface underneath the site.
4	And then the EIS is going to look into
5	alternatives for this development. And
6	traditionally you look at, the EIS is required
7	to look at a no-build alternative. What would

happen if this project didn't happen but in a 9 few years out as in the time frame that the

10 project would have happened, what's going to be

11 happening in the area in Yonkers regardless of

12 the development of this project.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've added some discussion about what the alternatives may be. The alternatives will also include what, how the project may happen if it were to be developed under current Zoning. And then another alternative to look at it if under current zoning if no variances were to be given, how the site may be developed under current zoning. So those are kind of the discussions about alternatives to be analyzed as part of the EIS.

The EIS also gets into discussion about irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources. They need to present an unavoidable

1	a discussion on unavoidable adverse impacts.
2	They need to discuss growth-inducing aspects of
3	the proposed action. Again growth-inducing
4	aspects means what are the immediate and other
5	aspects of if this development were to be
6	constructed, how it would impact the area, a
7	cumulative impacts of the action, energy use in
8	conservation. And, again, identifying measure
9	to avoid or reduce impacts on climate change.
10	And reducing the development's carbon footprint
11	and associated impacts.
12	And, again, the EIS will include a lot of
13	content, a lot of narrative, maps, tables, and
14	figures. And, again, as Valerie said, that's
15	going to be after the public has its comments
16	are developed and given on the scope. The City
17	will release the draft scope and then again that
18	the Zoning Board will adopt the scope.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: So this will be posted
20	on the website, the City website by 10/2, right,
21	Monday the 2nd of October?
22	MS. MONASTRA: Yes, that's correct.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: For public comment to,
24	you know. And then the Board will have until

the meeting on the 14th to respond, or sorry the

1	16th.
2	MR. BRADY: 16th, right.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: To respond, to get
4	their comments in by writing. Lee Ellman will
5	be the person accepting the comments, or
6	comments can be sent to ZBApublic and, Sam,
7	Shannon will forward those to Lee?
8	MR. BORELLI: Uh-uh.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes?
10	MR. BORELLI: That's right.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. And then the
12	public can attend and comment on the 10/16
13	meeting. And then they'll have until October
14	27th to submit written comments.
15	MS. MONASTRA: That's correct.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. One of the
17	issues that came up at the City staff meeting
18	today was when the applicant submits something
19	like a shadow study or whatnot whether or not we
20	verify or you verify their findings. Can you
21	talk a little bit about that?
22	MS. MONASTRA: Sure, I can. So, there's
23	two different types of reviews that go through,
24	when we review an EIS. The first is called

completeness review. So the completeness review

1	is actually making sure that the applicant
2	addressed each and every one of the topic areas
3	and submitted all the studies that are required
4	as based upon the scope. Then the second is the
5	substantiative review. So the completeness
6	review really just says did they check the boxes
7	more or less. Then the substantiative review
8	then becomes a review of we will go into the
9	actual analyses themselves and what they stated
10	and then we'll raise questions that need to be
11	either further analyses or they need to make
12	revisions to, to particular studies. That's
13	where you have the subsistence review. And that
14	would be something that will also work very
15	closely with the ZBA to get their comments or
16	concerns. Then once those reviews are done and
17	the EIS is then again is updated, then at that
18	point the ZBA can determine whether they feel
19	that the EIS is ready for public review and then
20	you would hold a public hearing on the EIS.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: So correct me if I'm
22	wrong, Bill, I think we talked about maybe

wrong, Bill, I think we talked about maybe having that in spring because of the amount of time it's going to take to collect.

MR. ELLMAN: Right. There's, you know,

23

1	there is no time frame for the City in this. It
2	all depends on how fast the applicant can do
3	their work. And then how long it takes for the
4	City to do its two reviews and get comments, get
5	a revised DEIS back from the applicant.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: So but my point is it's
7	not it's something that's going to happen
8	MR. ELLMAN: That's right.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Before 2024. We're not
10	in any rush.
11	MS. MONASTRA: No.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: We're going to go
13	through this, right?
14	MS. MONASTRA: Correct.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Is there any
16	other comments, questions, things we haven't
17	covered that we should cover?
18	MR. ELLMAN: Let's just take it out
19	through just to talk over very briefly take it
20	out through the rest of the process.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
22	MR. ELLMAN: So once, once the DEIS is
23	filed, as Valerie was saying, and it goes out to
24	the public. The public gets to make comments.
25	That includes all of the other agencies which

could be everything from Metro North, that is right next door to the site, to the Palisades Interstate Park Commission across the river. And they all get access to the document. all of those comments are collected and given to the applicant who then produces a draft Final Environmental Impact Statement. And that, that document is generally answers to questions that came up because of from the DEIS. Again, that gets reviewed for completeness. And is accepted by the, the Lead Agency by the Zoning Board of Appeals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

The level of scrutiny that the FEIS gets is, I don't want to say greater, but it's in a way different because the theory within SEQRA is that the Draft EIS is the applicant's document, and the Final EIS is the Lead Agency's document. So, the acceptability of the Final EIS is at a kind of finer level. So all of that takes the time that it takes. After that the FEIS is out for public review, but not necessarily formal comments. And then the final action that the Lead Agency has to take before they can have, do any decision-making is what's called a Finding Statement. And it is a summary and explanation

1	of the Board's or the ZBA's understanding and
2	thinking about the project decisions about
3	alternatives and decisions about mitigation to
4	final impacts. Once the, once the Finding
5	Statement is complete and filed, then the Board
6	can, can make decisions from there. And then
7	other agencies going forward, and that could be,
8	that could be the IDA. It's common in projects
9	of this size that the IDA might be involved in
LO	financing, the Planning Board for site plan
11	review. And those agencies also have to file
12	their own set of findings. So this is a
13	involved process. There is fairly, I think,
L 4	it's understandable information in the New York
L5	State DEC websites. It takes a little bit of
L 6	work to read it, only because it might be
L7	slightly unfamiliar to people who haven't worked
L8	with it. But it is written in plain language.
L 9	It's not in legalese. So, if you care to, it's
20	New York State DEC. And you would be looking
21	for information about SEQRA, S-E-Q-R-A. And
22	that will help you understand the process and
23	give you a chance to work through the
24	information.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And you've done these

1	before, Lee, on Chicken Island with FFC and AMS.
2	How long, you know, how long have they taken
3	before?
4	MR. ELLMAN: It's, it's rare nearly
5	impossible for an EIS to take less than a year.
6	And that's because there are mandated, there are
7	mandated public review periods. And there's
8	timing needed for the review by the staff and
9	consultants. You know. This is, this is a
LO	fairly complicated proposal as the AMS downtown
11	site was because of the tall buildings and the
L2	requirement that wind studies be done. So, you
L3	know, I would be surprised if it was any less
L 4	than six months before the ZBA sees a first
L5	draft from the applicant.
L 6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there anything else,
L7	Sam, or Nelson Pope?
L8	MR. BORELLI: No, I'm good. Thank you.
L 9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Anything else?
20	MS. MONASTRA: I think that pretty much
21	summarizes the process.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. So let's
23	just run through the dates again, right?
24	MS. MONASTRA: Sure.
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: So we have the draft

1	scoping will be posted on the City website by
2	October 2nd, which is a Monday.
3	MS. MONASTRA: Yup.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: The public hearing
5	session, where the public speaks is October 16,
6	here in this auditorium. It will be taken down.
7	So all the comments will be preserved. And that
8	will be from six to eight. And then the public
9	written comments will be open until the 27th of
10	October.
11	MS. MONASTRA: Did you want, do we want,
12	when we advise it, do we want to put like a time
13	frame or a time limit on each comment to allow
14	for all comments to be
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, traditionally at
16	the ZBA it's two minutes per person.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER BERA: That's not enough.
18	It should be five minutes. Be reasonable. Five
19	minutes.
20	MS. MONASTRA: Well, and then you'll
21	still have the basically you'll have then
22	anybody else that wants to expand on their
23	comments after the two minutes, they can submit
24	it in writing until October 27th.
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, they can send to

	09-27-23 Proceedings 23
1	the ZBApublic or to Lee Ellman who will be the
2	contact for this which is posted in the
3	document, right?
4	MR. BRADY: Yes, it's right in there.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, his contact
6	information. Okay. Anything else?
7	MS. MONASTRA: Thank you.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thanks, everyone.
9	MR. BRADY: Thank you.
10	(Time Noted: 6:41 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK )
4	) ss.
5	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER )
6	
7	I, LYNNETTE MAZZA, a Court Reporter and
8	Notary Public within and for the State of New
9	York, do hereby certify:
10	That I reported the proceedings that are
	hereinbefore set forth, and that such transcript
11	is a true and accurate record of said
12	proceedings.
13	I further certify that I am not related
14	to any of the parties to this action by blood or
15	
16	marriage, and that I am no way interested in the
17	outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand.
20	
21	
22	
23	
	LYNNETTE MAZZA,
24	COURT REPORTER
25	